



Shri Pawan Kumar,
S/o Shiv Lal,
Village Rupana,
Tehsil & Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Panchayat Secretary,
Gram Panchayat, Bhaika Kera,
Lambi.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Distt. Dev. & Panchayat Officer,
Sri Muktsar Sahib.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1928 of 2021

Present:- Shri Pawan Kumar, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of the PIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat,
Bhaika Kera, Lambi.

O R D E R

Shri Pawan Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 25.01.2021 before the SPIO-cum-BDPO, Lambi. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO-cum-Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Bhaika Kera, Lambi vide letter dated 01.02.2021.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

The appellant, Shri Pawan Kumar though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied and also requested to close the case.

As per the e-mail of the appellant that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 05.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Gurkirat Singh, S/o Sh. Jatinder Singh,
H.No. 110, Type E-1,
Punjab University Campus,
Sector 14, Chandigarh.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Principal, HSD Girls Sr.
Sec. School, Geeta Bhawan,
Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o DEO (SE),
Gurdaspur.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2765 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jatinder Singh, father of Shri Gurkirat Singh, appellant is present on his behalf.
Ms. Seema Vasudev, Principal, HSD Girls Sr. Sec. School, Geeta Bhawan, Gurdaspur is present.

ORDER

Shri Gurkirat Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 08.03.2021 before the SPIO, O/o DEO (SE), Gurdaspur. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO, O/o Principal, HSD Girls Sr. Sec. School, Geeta Bhawan, Gurdaspur vide letter dated 16.03.2021. A reply was sent to him by the SPIO vide letter dated 07.04.2021. Then the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 20.04.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 15.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Shri Jatinder Singh, father of Shri Gurkirat Singh, appellant is present on his behalf and Ms. Seema Vasudev, Principal, HSD Girls Sr. Sec. School, Gurdaspur is also present.

The respondent stated that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant vide letter no.1629 dated 07.04.2021 and now again vide letter no.1638 dated 18.09.2021. She further stated that a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 22.09.2021, diarized vide no.21549, which is a copy of letter no.1639 dated 20.09.2021 along with a copy of letter no.1629 dated 07.04.2021, copy of letter no.1638 dated 18.09.2021 and attested copy of information. I have gone through the said letters.

Shri Jatinder Singh, father of Shri Gurkirat Singh, appellant stated that the sought for information has been received.

As the SPIO has sent the sought for information to the appellant and father of the appellant has also admitted that the information has been received, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 05.10.2021



Shri Gurkirat Singh,
S/o Sh. Jatinder Singh,
H.No. 110, Type E-1,
Punjab University Campus,
Sector 14, Chandigarh.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Distt. Education Officer (SE),
Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Distt. Education Officer (SE),
Gurdaspur.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2772 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jatinder Singh, father of Shri Gurkirat Singh, appellant is present on his behalf.
Shri Sumit Kumar, Jr. Asstt. is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Distt. Education Officer (SE), Gurdaspur.

ORDER

Shri Gurkirat Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 01.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Distt. Education Officer (SE), Gurdaspur. Then the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 24.04.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 11.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Shri Jatinder Singh, father of Shri Gurkirat Singh, appellant is present on his behalf and Shri Sumit Kumar, Jr. Asstt. is present on behalf of the SPIO.

Shri Jatinder Singh, father of Shri Gurkirat Singh, appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided.

On the other hand the respondent stated that the concerned school i.e. Himalaya Modern Senior Secondary School, Gurdaspur has sent the information/reply vide letter no.836 dated 11.08.2021 which has been sent to the appellant.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. 2393 dated 30.09.2021 along with copy of letter no. 836 dated 11.08.2021.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 01.03.2021 and also gone through the reply/information sent by the SPIO and also discussed about the sought for information with both the parties.

As the SPIO has provided the reply/information to the appellant vide letter no. 836 dated 11.08.2021 and has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 05.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Joginder Singh, S/o Shri Shiam Singh,
Village Sahour, P.O. Mehal Kalan,
Barnala.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Head Mistress,
Govt. High School, Sehjra,
Distt. Barnala.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o Head Mistress,
Govt. High School, Sehjra,
Distt. Barnala.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2766 of 2021

Present:- Advocate Rupinder Singh is present on behalf of Shri Joginder Singh, appellant.
Smt. Maninder Kaur, SPIO-cum-Head Mistress, Govt. High School, Sehjra,
Distt. Barnala is present.

O R D E R

Shri Joginder Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 22.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Head Mistress, Govt. High School, Sehjra, Distt. Barnala. Then the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 20.04.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 16.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Advocate Rupinder Singh is present on behalf of Shri Joginder Singh, appellant and Smt. Maninder Kaur, SPIO-cum-Head Mistress, Govt. High School, Sehjra, Distt. Barnala is also present.

The counsel of the appellant stated that no information has been provided to the appellant.

On the other hand Smt. Maninder Kaur, SPIO-cum-Head Mistress stated that the sought for information has already been sent to the appellant through registered post and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 29.09.2021, diarized vide no.22152, which is a copy of reply by the SPIO along with attested copies of information.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 22.02.2021 and also gone through the reply sent by the SPIO and the attested copies of information. The SPIO has sent information/reply to each and every para of the RTI application.

But as Advocate Rupinder Singh, the counsel of the appellant stated that the sought for information has not been received by the appellant, so the respondent SPIO is directed that once again the attested copies of complete sought for information be sent to the appellant within two days through registered post and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

Dated: 05.10.2021

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Gagandeep Singh Threke,
H.No. 208, Aryan Enclave Main Sua
Road, Near Geeta Mandir Chowk,
VPO Threke, Ludhiana.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Distt. Dev. & Panchayat Officer,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o Rural Development and Panchayat Deptt.
Punjab, Chandigarh.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2776 of 2021

Present:- Shri Gagandeep Singh Threke, appellant is absent.
Shri Dhanwant Singh Randhawa, BDPO, Ludhiana-1 is present.

O R D E R

Shri Gagandeep Singh Threke, appellant filed RTI application dated 16.03.2021 before the SPIO, O/o Financial Commissioner, Rural Development and Panchayat Deptt, Punjab, Mohali. When no information was provided to the appellant by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 21.04.2021. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Distt. Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Ludhiana vide letter dated 22.04.2021. Then the appellant filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 16.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is absent today, whereas Shri Dhanwant Singh Randhawa, BDPO, Ludhiana-1 is present and he stated that the sought for information has already been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no. 2000 dated 10.06.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the BDPO, Ludhiana-1 which is a copy of letter no. 3280 dated 05.10.2021 along with copy of letter no. 2000 dated 10.06.2021, copy of letter no. 1673 dated 17.05.2021, copy of letter no. 1274 dated 07.04.2021 and attested copies of information.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 16.03.2021 and also gone through the information sent to the appellant through the above said letters. The SPIO has sent the information to the appellant vide letter no.2000 dated 10.06.2021 and a period of about four months has been passed, but the appellant has not sent any mail to the Commission regarding the deficiencies in the information and neither he has attended the hearing for today, it means he is satisfied with the information sent by the SPIO. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.



Shri Gursewak Singh,
S/o Sh. Darshan Singh,
Near Shivala Mandir,
Gali Dhawan Wali, Guru Harsahai,
Distt. Ferozepur.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Principal, Govt. Girls Sr. Sec. School,
GuruHarsahai, Distt. Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o Principal, Govt. Girls Sr. Sec. School,
GuruHarsahai, Distt. Ferozepur.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2780 of 2021

Present:- Shri Gursewak Singh, appellant is personally present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Principal, Govt. Girls Sr. Sec. School,
GuruHarsahai, Distt. Ferozepur.

O R D E R

Shri Gursewak Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 26.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Principal, Govt. Girls Sr. Sec. School, GuruHarsahai, Distt. Ferozepur. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 29.04.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 16.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is personally present today, whereas the respondent is absent.

The appellant stated that no information has been provided to him by the SPIO.

The SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission on 28.09.2021, diarized vide no. 22021, which is a copy of letter no. 2356 dated 23.09.2021 along with attested copies of information.

I have gone through letter no. 2356 dated 23.09.2021 and also gone through the information sent by the SPIO.

During the course of hearing the copies of information sent by the SPIO has been handed over to the appellant.

As the sought for information which was sent to the Commission has been provided to the appellant today itself yet the SPIO is also directed to send the attested copies of sought for information to the appellant through registered post within 2 days and compliance be also sent to the Commission. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 05.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Gursewak Singh,
S/o Sh. Darshan Singh,
Near Shivala Mandir,
Gali Dhawan Wali, Guru Harsahai,
Distt. Ferozepur.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Principal, Govt. Girls Sr. Sec. School,
GuruHarsahai, Distt. Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Principal, Govt. Girls Sr. Sec. School,
GuruHarsahai, Distt. Ferozepur.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2781 of 2021

Present:- Shri Gursewak Singh, appellant is personally present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Principal, Govt. Girls Sr. Sec. School,
GuruHarsahai, Distt. Ferozepur.

O R D E R

Shri Gursewak Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 26.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Principal, Govt. Girls Sr. Sec. School, GuruHarsahai, Distt. Ferozepur. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 29.04.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 16.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is personally present today, whereas the respondent is absent.

The appellant stated that no information has been provided to him by the SPIO.

The SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission on 28.09.2021, diarized vide no. 22020, which is a copy of letter no. 2357 dated 23.09.2021 along with attested copies of information.

I have gone through letter no. 2357 dated 23.09.2021 and also gone through the information sent by the SPIO.

During the course of hearing the copies of information sent by the SPIO has been handed over to the appellant.

As the sought for information which was sent to the Commission has been provided to the appellant today itself yet the SPIO is also directed to send the attested copies of sought for information to the appellant through registered post within 2 days and compliance be also sent to the Commission. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 05.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Gursewak Singh,
S/o Sh. Darshan Singh,
Near Shivala Mandir,
Gali Dhawan Wali, Guru Harsahai,
Distt. Ferozepur.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Principal, Govt. Girls Sr. Sec. School,
GuruHarsahai, Distt. Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Principal, Govt. Girls Sr. Sec. School,
GuruHarsahai, Distt. Ferozepur.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 2782 of 2021

Present:- Shri Gursewak Singh, appellant is personally present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Principal, Govt. Girls Sr. Sec. School,
GuruHarsahai, Distt. Ferozepur.

ORDER

Shri Gursewak Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 26.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Principal, Govt. Girls Sr. Sec. School, GuruHarsahai, Distt. Ferozepur. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 29.04.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 16.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is personally present today, whereas the respondent is absent.

The appellant stated that no information has been provided to him by the SPIO.

The SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission on 28.09.2021, diarized vide no. 22023, which is a copy of letter no. 2358 dated 23.09.2021 along with attested copies of information.

I have gone through letter no. 2358 dated 23.09.2021 and also gone through the information sent by the SPIO.

During the course of hearing the copies of information sent by the SPIO has been handed over to the appellant.

As the sought for information which was sent to the Commission has been provided to the appellant today itself yet the SPIO is also directed to send the attested copies of sought for information to the appellant through registered post within 2 days and compliance be also sent to the Commission. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 05.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Suresh Kumar Sharma,
C/o Sharma Furniture House,
Main Bazar, Chhehrata,
Amritsar.

.....Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Chief Electoral Officer, PB.,
SCO 29-32, Sector 17, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o Chief Electoral Officer, PB.,
SCO 29-32, Sector 17,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2788 of 2020

Present:- Shri Suresh Kumar Sharma, appellant is present.
Shri Sukhpal Singh, Accountant, O/o Additional Deputy Commissioner (Dev.)-
cum-Additional Distt. Electoral Officer, Amritsar is present.

O R D E R

Shri Suresh Kumar Sharma, appellant filed RTI application dated 01.06.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Chief Electoral Officer, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meeting Software.

Both the parties are present today.

Shri Sukhpal Singh, Accountant, O/o Additional Deputy Commissioner (Dev.)-cum-Additional Distt. Electoral Officer, Amritsar stated that the appellant has visited the said office and the sought for information has been discussed with him and after the discussion the appellant has given in writing that the information sought by him does not relate with the office of Additional Deputy Commissioner (Dev.), Amritsar rather it relates with the office of Additional Deputy Commissioner (G), Amritsar.

The appellant has also admitted the same fact as mentioned by the respondent.

I have perused the case file. The appellant has filed RTI application dated 01.06.2020 before the SPIO, O/o Chief Electoral Officer, Punjab, Chandigarh, but the SPIO, Chief Electoral Officer, Punjab vide letter no. 4573 dated 27.10.2020 submitted that the RTI application filed by the appellant does not relate with their office rather it relates with the office of the State Election Commission, Pb., Chandigarh.

The SPIO, O/o State Election Commission, Punjab, Chandigarh vide letter no. 2314 dated 05.02.2021 has written to the SPIO-cum-Additional Deputy Commission (Dev.) cum-Additional Distt. Electoral Officer, Amritsar to provide the information to the appellant.

But today the appellant and the respondent, O/o Additional Deputy Commissioner (Dev.)- cum-Additional Distt. Electoral Officer, Amritsar stated that the sought for information relates with the office of the SPIO, O/o Additional Deputy Commission (G), Amritsar.

Contd..p2..

Under these circumstances, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that the appellant should file fresh RTI application before the concerned public authority.

Dated: 05.10.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**

**(Regd.) CC: State Election Commission, Punjab, SCO No.49, Sector 17-E, Chandigarh.
- for information.**

**(Regd.) CC: Additional Deputy Commissioner (Dev.)-cum-Additional District Electoral
Officer, Amritsar. - For information.**



Shri Mukhtiar Singh,
S/o Shri Maghar Singh,
Village Rameana, Tehsil Jaito,
Distt. Faridkot.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Block Jaito,
Distt. Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DDPO,
Faridkot.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1875 of 2021

Present:- Shri Mukhtiar Singh, appellant is absent.
Sh. Vinay Kumar, APO, MANREGA is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO,
Block Jaito, Distt. Faridkot.

O R D E R

Shri Mukhtiar Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 07.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Block Jaito, Distt. Faridkot.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the information sought by the appellant has already been sent to him vide letter no. 290 dated 07.01.2021 through ordinary post. He further stated that a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. 290 dated 07.01.2021 along with attested copies of information.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 07.12.2020 and also gone through the information sent by the SPIO.

The SPIO has sent the information to the appellant through ordinary post. So, the SPIO is directed that once again the attested copies of sought for information be sent to the appellant through registered post and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 05.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Surinder Singh,
S/o Shri Mukand Singh,
R/o Ward No. 18, H.No. 69,
Janta Nagar, Dhuri, Distt. Sangrur.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Dhuri,
Distt. Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DDPO,
Sangrur.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1884 of 2021

Present:- Shri Surinder Singh, appellant is absent.
Shri Raj Singh, Suptd. is present on behalf of Shri Lenin Garg, SPIO-cum-BDPO,
Dhuri, Distt. Sangrur.

O R D E R

Shri Surinder Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 27.10.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Dhuri, Distt. Sangrur.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that in compliance to the order dated 12.08.2021 the sought for information/reply, specifically point-wise, has been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter dated 16.09.2021.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 24.09.2021 diarized vide no. 21840, which is a copy of letter no. 52 dated 15.09.2021 along with copy of letter no. 55 dated 16.09.2021. The SPIO has also sent copy of letter no. 62 dated 24.09.2021.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 27.10.2020 and the information sent to the appellant. The SPIO has sent point-wise information/reply to the appellant.

As the SPIO has sent the sought for information/reply to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 05.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Surinder Singh,
S/o Shri Mukand Singh,
R/o Ward No. 18, H.No. 69,
Janta Nagar, Dhuri, Distt. Sangrur.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO,
Dhuri,
Distt. Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DDPO,
Sangrur.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1885 of 2021

Present:- Shri Surinder Singh, appellant is absent.
Shri Raj Singh, Suptd. is present on behalf of Shri Lenin Garg, SPIO-cum-BDPO,
Dhuri, Distt. Sangrur.

O R D E R

Shri Surinder Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 27.10.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Dhuri, Distt. Sangrur.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the in compliance to the order dated 12.08.2021 the sought for information/reply, specifically point-wise, has been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter dated 03.09.2021.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 10.09.2021 diarized vide no. 20538, which is a copy of letter no. 43 dated 03.09.2021. The SPIO has also sent copy of letter no. 67 dated 24.09.2021.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 27.10.2020 and the information sent to the appellant. The SPIO has sent point-wise information/reply to the appellant.

As the SPIO has sent the sought for information/reply to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 05.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Sanjeev Gupta, S/o Shri Ram Partap Gupta,
R/o 455, Gali No. 10-F,
New Dashmesh Nagar, Near Dashmesh Park,
Moga.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Amritsar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3141 of 2020

Present:- Advocate Pankaj Mohan is present on behalf of Shri Sanjeev Gupta, appellant.
Inspector Sarwan Singh is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o DCP, Amritsar.

O R D E R

Shri Sanjeev Gupta, appellant filed RTI application dated 04.05.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Amritsar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

Advocate Pankaj Mohan is present on behalf of Shri Sanjeev Gupta, appellant and Inspector Sarwan Singh is present on behalf of the SPIO.

The counsel of the appellant stated that complete information has not been provided to him.

I have gone through the Order dated 12.08.2021 and in compliance to the order the respondent has sent the attested copies of information to the appellant regarding point no. 1 of the RTI application.

So far as the information regarding point no. 2 of the RTI application is concerned, no clear information has been traced regarding the said person as the record of the concerned Police Station is very old. The appellant sought information for the period from 1980 onwards which is a very long period. The appellant has not specified the information he is seeking. So, the nature of the information regarding point no. 2 of the RTI application is vague. The PIO has to go through very old record of Police Station and the valuable time of the public authority will be wasted. The Counsel of the appellant could not specify regarding the information and neither he is able to convince the Commission that which larger public interest is involved in the disclosure of this information.

So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 05.10.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



Shri Dharam Singh,
S/o Shri Ujjagar Singh,
R/o Village Laloda,
Tehsil Nabha, Distt. Patiala.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o BDPO, Nabha,
Distt. Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o DDPO,
Patiala.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2075 of 2021

Present:- Shri Dharam Singh, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Nabha, Distt. Patiala.

O R D E R

Shri Dharam Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 14.11.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Nabha, Distt. Patiala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

The appellant, Shri Dharam Singh though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission stating that the sought for information has been provided to him by Shri Harvinder Singh, SEPO, Block Patiala (Rural). He further requested to close his case.

As per the e-mail of the appellant that the sought for information has been provided to him by Shri Harvinder Singh, SEPO, Block Patiala (Rural), so the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Hence, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 05.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Ravinder Singh Ravi,
S/o Late Shri Bihari Lal,
R/o 1/6, Central Town,
Jalandhar.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Asstt. Excise Commissioner,
Ludhiana-2.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Asstt. Excise Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1691 of 2021

Present:- Advocate Shubham Mehta is present on behalf of Shri Ravinder Singh Ravi, Appellant.
Shri Inderpal, APIO-cum-Excise Inspector is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Assistant Excise Commissioner, Ludhiana (West)

ORDER

Shri Ravinder Singh Ravi, appellant filed RTI application dated 26.10.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Asstt. Excise Commissioner, Ludhiana-2.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Advocate Shubham Mehta is present on behalf of Shri Ravinder Singh Ravi, Appellant and Shri Inderpal, APIO-cum-Excise Inspector is present on behalf of the SPIO.

The respondent stated that regarding the information related with point nos. 'B' and 'C' of the RTI application, the appellant was asked to deposit the requisite fee with the office of the SPIO vide letter dated 17.09.2021, but he has not deposited the same till date.

The counsel of the appellant stated that as per the RTI Act, 2005 the SPIO can ask for fee within 30 days of filing the RTI application, but in the present case a period of about one year has been passed, so the information should be provided to appellant free of cost.

As per Section 7(6) of the RTI Act, 2005 the public authority has to provide the information within 30 days otherwise no requisite fee is to be claimed.

So, the SPIO is directed that attested copies of information regarding point nos. 'B' and 'C' of the RTI application be sent to the appellant free of cost through registered post within 3 days and copy of the same be sent to the Commission for compliance. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 05.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Ravinder Singh Ravi,
S/o Late Shri Bihari Lal,
R/o 1/6, Central Town,
Jalandhar.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Asstt. Excise Commissioner,
Ludhiana-3.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Asstt. Excise Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1689 of 2021

Present:- Advocate Shubham Mehta is present on behalf of Shri Ravinder Singh Ravi, Appellant.
Shri Manpreet Singh, SPIO-cum- Asstt. Excise Commissioner, Ludhiana (East) is present.

ORDER

Shri Ravinder Singh Ravi, appellant filed RTI application dated 26.10.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Asstt. Excise Commissioner, Ludhiana-3.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Advocate Shubham Mehta is present on behalf of Shri Ravinder Singh Ravi, Appellant and Shri Manpreet Singh, SPIO-cum- Asstt. Excise Commissioner, Ludhiana (East) is also present.

The respondent stated that regarding the information related with point nos. 'B' and 'C' of the RTI application, the appellant was asked to deposit the requisite fee with the office of the SPIO vide letter dated 17.09.2021, but he has not deposited the same till date.

The counsel of the appellant stated that as per the RTI Act, 2005 the SPIO can ask for fee within 30 days of filing the RTI application, but in the present case a period of about one year has been passed, so the information should be provided to appellant free of cost.

As per Section 7(6) of the RTI Act, 2005 the public authority has to provide the information within 30 days otherwise no requisite fee is to be claimed.

So, the SPIO is directed that attested copies of information regarding point nos. 'B' and 'C' of the RTI application be sent to the appellant free of cost through registered post within 3 days and copy of the same be sent to the Commission for compliance. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 05.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Ravinder Singh Ravi,
S/o Late Shri Bihari Lal,
R/o 1/6, Central Town,
Jalandhar.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Asstt. Excise Commissioner,
Ludhiana-1.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Asstt. Excise Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1690 of 2021

Present:- Advocate Shubham Mehta is present on behalf of Shri Ravinder Singh Ravi, Appellant.
Shri Manpreet Singh, SPIO-cum- Asstt. Excise Commissioner, Ludhiana (East) is present.

O R D E R

Shri Ravinder Singh Ravi, appellant filed RTI application dated 26.10.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Asstt. Excise Commissioner, Ludhiana-1.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Advocate Shubham Mehta is present on behalf of Shri Ravinder Singh Ravi, Appellant and Shri Manpreet Singh, SPIO-cum- Asstt. Excise Commissioner, Ludhiana (East) is also present.

The respondent stated that regarding the information related with point nos. 'B' and 'C' of the RTI application, the appellant was asked to deposit the requisite fee with the office of the SPIO vide letter dated 17.09.2021, but he has not deposited the same till date.

The counsel of the appellant stated that as per the RTI Act, 2005 the SPIO can ask for fee within 30 days of filing the RTI application, but in the present case a period of about one year has been passed, so the information should be provided to appellant free of cost.

As per Section 7(6) of the RTI Act, 2005 the public authority has to provide the information within 30 days otherwise no requisite fee is to be claimed.

So, the SPIO is directed that attested copies of information regarding point nos. 'B' and 'C' of the RTI application be sent to the appellant free of cost through registered post within 3 days and copy of the same be sent to the Commission for compliance. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 05.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



(Regd.) Smt. Kiran Sharma, W/o Late Shri Jagdish Sharma,
Street No. 42, Preet Nagar,
Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1919 of 2020

Present:- Shri Sushil Kumar is present on behalf of Smt. Kiran Sharma, appellant.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Smt. Kiran Sharma, appellant filed RTI application dated 24.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Shri Sushil Kumar is present on behalf of Smt. Kiran Sharma, appellant, whereas none has appeared on behalf of the SPIO. The representative of the appellant stated that no information has not been provided by the SPIO.

The respondent SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no.658-D dated 31.08.2021.

I have gone through letter no.658-D dated 31.08.2021 through which the SPIO has sent point-wise information/reply to the appellant comprising of attested copies of 46 pages.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 24.08.2021 and also gone through the information/reply sent by the SPIO. The SPIO has provided information/reply to each and every point of the RTI application, so he has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Hence, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

A copy of the e-mail sent by the SPIO be sent to the appellant through registered post along with the copy of the Order of the Commission.

Dated: 05.10.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Encl: Copy of e-mail sent by the SPIO.